

ON A GENERALIZATION OF TEST IDEALS

NOBUO HARA AND SHUNSUKE TAKAGI

ABSTRACT. The test ideal $\tau(R)$ of a ring R of prime characteristic is an important object in the theory of tight closure. In this paper, we study a generalization of the test ideal, which is the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ associated to a given ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ with rational exponent $t \geq 0$. We first prove a key lemma of this paper (Lemma 2.1), which gives a characterization of the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$. As applications of this key lemma, we generalize the preceding results on the behavior of the test ideal $\tau(R)$. Moreover, we prove an analog of so-called Skoda's theorem, which is formulated algebraically via adjoint ideals by Lipman in his proof of the "modified Briançon–Skoda theorem."

INTRODUCTION

Let R be a Noetherian commutative ring of characteristic $p > 0$. The test ideal $\tau(R)$ of R , introduced by Hochster and Huneke [HH2], is defined to be the annihilator ideal of all tight closure relations in R and plays an important role in the theory of tight closure. In [HY], the first-named author and Yoshida introduced a generalization of tight closure, which we call $\mathfrak{a}$ -tight closure associated to a given ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, and defined the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a})$ to be the annihilator ideal of all $\mathfrak{a}$ -tight closure relations in R . We can also consider $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure and the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ with rational exponent $t \geq 0$ (or, rational coefficient in a sense), and even more, those with several rational exponents.

The ideals $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ have several nice properties similar to those of multiplier ideals $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ defined via resolution of singularities in characteristic zero; see [La] for a systematic study of multiplier ideals. Among them, we have an analog of Lipman's "modified Briançon–Skoda theorem" ([HY, Theorem 2.1], cf. [Li]) and the subadditivity theorem in regular local rings ([HY, Theorem 4.5], cf. [DEL]). It is notable that the above properties of the ideals $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ are proved quite algebraically via characteristic p methods. On the other hand, we can prove that the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ in a normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein ring of characteristic zero coincides, after reduction to characteristic $p \gg 0$, with the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$; see [HY] and also [Ta].

In this paper, we study further properties of $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure and the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ in characteristic $p > 0$, improve results obtained in [HY], and give some applications. To do this, we first prove a key lemma of this paper (Lemma 2.1), which gives a characterization of (elements of) the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$. Precisely speaking, Lemma 2.1 characterizes the ideal $\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ given in Definition 1.4, which is contained in the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ and expected to coincide with $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$. The identification of the ideals $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ and

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A35.

Both authors thank MSRI for the support and hospitality during their stay in the fall of 2002. The first-named author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan.

$\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ holds true in some reasonable situations, e.g., in normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein rings; see [AM], [HY] and [LS2].

Although the description of Lemma 2.1 is somewhat complicated, it turns out to be very useful in studying various properties of the ideals $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$. As applications of this key lemma, we answer a question raised in [HY] (Corollary 2.3) and consider the relationship of test elements and $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test elements (Corollary 2.4). We also apply Lemma 2.1 to the study of the behavior of the ideals $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ under localization (Proposition 3.1), completion (Proposition 3.2) and finite morphisms which are étale in codimension one (Theorem 3.3). These results generalize the preceding results [LS2] and [BSm] on the behavior of the test ideal $\tau(R)$, and we hope that the proofs become simpler with the use of Lemma 2.1.

Other ingredients of this paper are Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, which assert that if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal with a reduction generated by l elements, then $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^l) = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1})\mathfrak{a}$. This is an analog of so-called Skoda's theorem [La], which is formulated algebraically via adjoint ideals (or multiplier ideals) by Lipman [Li] in his proof of the “modified Briançon–Skoda theorem.” Theorem 4.1 is a refinement of [HY, Theorem 2.1] and is proved by an easy observation on the relationship of regular powers and Frobenius powers of ideals (cf. [AH]). Theorem 4.2 is based on the same idea, but is proved under a slightly different assumption with the aid of Lemma 2.1. We can find an advantage of the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a})$ in the simplicity of the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, because the proof of Skoda's theorem for multiplier ideals needs a deep vanishing theorem which is proved only in characteristic zero [La], [Li].

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, all rings are excellent reduced commutative rings with unity. For a ring R , we denote by R° the set of elements of R which are not in any minimal prime ideal. Let R be a ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$ and $F: R \rightarrow R$ the Frobenius map which sends $x \in R$ to $x^p \in R$. The ring R viewed as an R -module via the e -times iterated Frobenius map $F^e: R \rightarrow R$ is denoted by eR . Since R is assumed to be reduced, we can identify $F: R \rightarrow {}^eR$ with the natural inclusion map $R \hookrightarrow R^{1/p^e}$. We say that R is *F-finite* if 1R (or $R^{1/p}$) is a finitely generated R -module.

Let R be a ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and let M be an R -module. For each $e \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote $\mathbb{F}^e(M) = \mathbb{F}_R^e(M) := {}^eR \otimes_R M$ and regard it as an R -module by the action of $R = {}^eR$ from the left. Then we have the induced e -times iterated Frobenius map $F^e: M \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^e(M)$. The image of $z \in M$ via this map is denoted by $z^q := F^e(z) \in \mathbb{F}^e(M)$. For an R -submodule N of M , we denote by $N_M^{[q]}$ the image of the induced map $\mathbb{F}^e(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^e(M)$.

Now we recall the definition of $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure. See [HY] for details.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of a ring R of characteristic $p > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$, and let $N \subseteq M$ be R -modules. Given a rational number $t \geq 0$, the $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure $N_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ of N in M is defined to be the submodule of M consisting of all elements $z \in M$ for which there exists $c \in R^\circ$ such that

$$cz^q \mathfrak{a}^{[tq]} \subseteq N_M^{[q]}$$

for all large $q = p^e$, where $\lceil tq \rceil$ is the least integer which is greater than or equal to tq . The $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure of an ideal $I \subseteq R$ is just defined by $I^{*\mathfrak{a}^t} = I_R^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$.

Remark 1.2. The rational exponent t for $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure in Definition 1.1 is just a formal notation, but it is compatible with “real” powers of the ideal. Namely, if $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure is the same as $\mathfrak{b}^{t/n}$ -tight closure. This allows us to extend the definition to several rational exponents: Given ideals $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_r \subseteq R$ with $\mathfrak{a}_i \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and rational numbers $t_1, \dots, t_r \geq 0$, if $t_i = tn_i$ for nonnegative $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i = 1, \dots, r$, we can define $\mathfrak{a}_1^{t_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_r^{t_r}$ -tight closure to be $(\mathfrak{a}_1^{n_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_r^{n_r})^t$ -tight closure. If N is a submodule of an R -module M , then an element $z \in M$ is in the $\mathfrak{a}_1^{t_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_r^{t_r}$ -tight closure $N_M^{*\mathfrak{a}_1^{t_1} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_r^{t_r}}$ of N in M if and only if there exists $c \in R^\circ$ such that $cz^q \mathfrak{a}_1^{\lceil t_1 q \rceil} \cdots \mathfrak{a}_r^{\lceil t_r q \rceil} \subseteq N_M^{[q]}$ for all $q = p^e \gg 0$.

Since $N_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}/N \cong 0_{M/N}^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ for R -modules $N \subseteq M$ ([HY, Proposition 1.3 (1)]), the case where $N = 0$ is essential. Using the $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure of the zero submodule, we can define two ideals $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ and $\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$.

Proposition-Definition 1.3. ([HY, Definition-Theorem 6.5]) *Let R be an excellent reduced ring of characteristic $p > 0$, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ an ideal such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and $t \geq 0$ a rational number. Let $E = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ be the direct sum, taken over all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ of R , of the injective envelopes of the residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}$. Then the following ideals are equal to each other and we denote it by $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$.*

- (i) $\bigcap_M \text{Ann}_R(0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^t})$, where M runs through all finitely generated R -modules.
- (ii) $\bigcap_{M \subseteq E} \text{Ann}_R(0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^t})$, where M runs through all finitely generated submodules of E .
- (iii) $\bigcap_{J \subseteq R} (J : J^{*\mathfrak{a}^t})$, where J runs through all ideals of R .

The description (ii) of $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ in Proposition-Definition 1.3 means that $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ is the annihilator ideal of the “finitistic $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure” of zero in E , that is, the union of $0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ in E taken over all finitely generated submodules M of E . It would be natural to consider the $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure of zero in E instead of the finitistic tight closure.

Definition 1.4. Let $E = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ be as in Proposition-Definition 1.3. Then we define the ideal $\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ by

$$\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t) = \text{Ann}_R(0_E^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}).$$

We have $\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t) \subseteq \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ in general, since $0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^t} \subseteq 0_E^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ for all (finitely generated) submodules of E . We do not know any example in which the $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure of zero and the finitistic $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure of zero disagree in E , and it seems reasonable to assume the following condition.

(1.4.1) We say that *condition (*) is satisfied for $\mathfrak{a}^t$* if $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t) = \tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$.

Condition (*) is satisfied in many situations. For example, if R is a graded ring, then condition (*) is satisfied for the unit ideal R (see [LS1]), and if R is an excellent $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein normal local ring, then condition (*) is satisfied for every

rational number $t \geq 0$ and every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ ([HY, Theorem 1.13]; see also [AM]).

In most part of this paper, we will assume condition $(*)$ to deduce various properties of the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$, because what we actually do is to prove those properties for the ideal $\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$, which are translated into those for $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ under condition $(*)$. So, we remark that most of our results do hold true for $\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ without assuming condition $(*)$.

Finally we recall the notion of an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element, which is quite useful to treat the $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure operation. See [HY, Theorems 1.7 and 6.4] for the existence of $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test elements.

Definition 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of a ring R of characteristic $p > 0$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$, and let $t \geq 0$ be a rational number. An element $d \in R^\circ$ is called an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element if for every finitely generated R -module M and $z \in M$, the following holds: $z \in 0_M^{\mathfrak{a}^t}$ if and only if $dz^q \mathfrak{a}^{\lceil tq \rceil} = 0$ for all powers $q = p^e$ of p .

Remark 1.6. In the case where $\mathfrak{a} = R$ is the unit ideal, the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}) = \tau(R)$ is called the test ideal of R and an R -test element is nothing but a test element as defined in [HH2]. In this case, $\tau(R) \cap R^\circ$ is exactly equal to the set of test elements. However, $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t) \cap R^\circ$ is not equal to the set of $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test elements in general. The relationship between the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ and $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test elements is not a priori clear, but we will see later in Corollary 2.4 that an element of $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t) \cap R^\circ$ is always an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element.

The reader is referred to [HY] for basic properties of $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure and the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$. Among them, see especially Propositions 1.3 and 1.11 and Theorem 1.7, together with Section 6, of [HY].

All results in this paper are proved in characteristic $p > 0$. But it may help better understanding of the results to keep in mind the correspondence of the ideals $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ and the multiplier ideals defined via resolution of singularities in characteristic zero ([HY]). Namely, given a rational number $t \geq 0$ and an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of a normal $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein ring essentially of finite type over a field of characteristic zero, the multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ coincides, after reduction to characteristic $p \gg 0$, with the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$.

2. A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE IDEAL $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$

We first show a key lemma of this paper, which gives a characterization of the ideal $\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ and reveals the relationship between the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ and $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test elements under condition $(*)$. Its essential idea is found in [Ta, Theorem 3.13].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an F -finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ an ideal such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and $t \geq 0$ a rational number. Fix a system of generators $x_1^{(e)}, \dots, x_{r_e}^{(e)}$ of $\mathfrak{a}^{\lceil tq \rceil}$ for each $q = p^e$. Then for any element $c \in R$, the following three conditions are equivalent to each other.*

- (i) $c \in \tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t)$.
- (ii) *For any element $d \in R^\circ$ and any integer $e_0 \geq 0$, there exist an integer $e_1 \geq e_0$ and R -homomorphisms $\phi_i^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ for $e_0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and*

$1 \leq i \leq r_e$ such that

$$c = \sum_{e=e_0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \phi_i^{(e)} ((dx_i^{(e)})^{1/p^e}).$$

(iii) For an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element $d \in R^\circ$, there exist a positive integer e_1 and R -linear maps $\phi_i^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ for $0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$ such that

$$c = \sum_{e=0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \phi_i^{(e)} ((dx_i^{(e)})^{1/p^e}).$$

(iii)' For an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element $d \in R^\circ$, there exist a positive integer e_1 and R -linear maps $\phi_i^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ for $0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$ such that

$$c \in \sum_{e=0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \phi_i^{(e)} ((d\mathfrak{a}^{\lceil tp^e \rceil})^{1/p^e}).$$

Proof. We prove the equivalence of conditions (i) and (ii). Let $F^e: E_R \rightarrow {}^eR \otimes_R E_R$ be the e -times iterated Frobenius map induced on the injective envelope $E_R := E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ of the residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}$. For an element $d \in R^\circ$ and an integer $e \geq 0$, we write

$$d\mathbf{x}^{(e)} F^e := {}^t(dx_1^{(e)} F^e, \dots, dx_{r_e}^{(e)} F^e): E_R \rightarrow ({}^eR \otimes_R E_R)^{\oplus r_e}.$$

By definition and condition (*), $c \in \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ if and only if $c \cdot \bigcap_{e \geq e_0} \text{Ker}(d\mathbf{x}^{(e)} F^e) = 0$ holds for every element $d \in R^\circ$ and every integer $e_0 \geq 0$. Since E_R is an Artinian R -module, there exists an integer $e_1 \geq e_0$ (depending on $d \in R^\circ$ and $e_0 \geq 0$) such that

$$\bigcap_{e \geq e_0} \text{Ker}(d\mathbf{x}^{(e)} F^e) = \bigcap_{e=e_0}^{e_1} \text{Ker}(d\mathbf{x}^{(e)} F^e).$$

Therefore denoting

$$\Phi = \Phi_d^{(e_0, e_1)} := {}^t(d\mathbf{x}^{(e_0)} F^{e_0}, \dots, d\mathbf{x}^{(e_1)} F^{e_1}): E_R \rightarrow \bigoplus_{e=e_0}^{e_1} ({}^eR \otimes_R E_R)^{\oplus r_e},$$

we see that $c \in \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ if and only if for every $d \in R^\circ$ and $e_0 \geq 0$, there exists $e_1 \geq e_0$ such that $c \cdot \text{Ker}(\Phi_d^{(e_0, e_1)}) = 0$.

Since R is F-finite, the map $\Phi = \Phi_d^{(e_0, e_1)}: E_R \rightarrow \bigoplus_{e=e_0}^{e_1} ({}^eR \otimes_R E_R)^{\oplus r_e}$ is the Matlis dual of the map

$$\Psi = (\psi^{(e_0)}, \dots, \psi^{(e_1)}): \bigoplus_{e=e_0}^{e_1} \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)^{\oplus r_e} \rightarrow R,$$

where the map $\psi^{(e)}: \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)^{\oplus r_e} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, R) = R$ is induced by the R -linear map $R \rightarrow (R^{1/p^e})^{\oplus r_e}$ sending 1 to $((dx_1^{(e)})^{1/p^e}, \dots, (dx_{r_e}^{(e)})^{1/p^e})$. It then follows that $c \cdot \text{Ker}(\Phi) = 0$ if and only if $c \in \text{Im}(\Psi)$. By the definition of Ψ , this is equivalent

to saying that there exist R -linear maps $\phi_i^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ for $e_0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$ such that

$$c = \sum_{e=e_0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \phi_i^{(e)}((dx_i^{(e)})^{1/p^e}).$$

The equivalence of conditions (i) and (iii) (resp. (iii)') is obtained in the same way. $\square$

Remark 2.2. An advantage of Lemma 2.1 is that it is applicable even in the absence of the completeness of R . For example, compare the hypotheses in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

As immediate consequences of Lemma 2.1, we have the following corollaries. First Lemma 2.1 gives an affirmative answer to a question raised in [HY, Discussion 5.18].

Corollary 2.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an F -finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$, and suppose that condition $(*)$ is satisfied for the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Then $\tau(\mathfrak{m}) = R$ if and only if for every $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$, we have a strict containment $\tau(\mathfrak{a}) \supsetneq \mathfrak{a}$. In particular, if R is a regular local ring with $\dim R \geq 2$, then $\tau(\mathfrak{a}) \supsetneq \mathfrak{a}$ for every $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\tau(\mathfrak{m}) = R$. We will show that $\tau(\mathfrak{a}) \supsetneq (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{m})$ for every ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.1, for any element $d \in R^\circ$ and any integer $e_0 \geq 0$, there exist an integer $e \geq e_0$, an element $x \in \mathfrak{m}^{p^e}$ and an R -module homomorphism $\phi : R^{1/p^e} \rightarrow R$ such that $\phi((dx)^{1/p^e}) = 1$. Now fix any element $y \in (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{m})$. Since $\phi((dxy^{p^e})^{1/p^e}) = \phi((dx)^{1/p^e})y = y$ and $xy^{p^e} \in \mathfrak{a}^{p^e}$, we have $y \in \tau(\mathfrak{a})$ by using Lemma 2.1 again. The converse implication is trivial. The latter assertion follows from [HY, Theorem 2.15]. $\square$

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an F -finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. If condition $(*)$ is satisfied for the unit ideal R , then a test element of R is an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element for all ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and all rational numbers $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let c be a test element of R , that is, an element of $\tau(R) \cap R^\circ$. Given any ideals $\mathfrak{a}, J$ of R , any element $z \in J^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$ and any power q of p , it is enough to show that $cz^q \mathfrak{a}^{[tq]} \subseteq J^{[q]}$. Since $z \in J^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$, there exist $d \in R^\circ$ and $e_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $dz^Q \mathfrak{a}^{[tQ]} \subseteq J^{[Q]}$ for every power $Q \geq p^{e_0}$ of p . Then by Lemma 2.1, there exist $e_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi_e \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ for $e_0 \leq e \leq e_1$ such that $c = \sum_{e=e_0}^{e_1} \phi_e(d^{1/p^e})$. Since $dz^{qp^e} (\mathfrak{a}^{[tq]})^{[p^e]} \subseteq dz^{qp^e} \mathfrak{a}^{[tqp^e]} \subseteq J^{[qp^e]}$ for every $e_0 \leq e \leq e_1$, we have

$$d^{1/p^e} z^q \mathfrak{a}^{[tq]} R^{1/p^e} \subseteq J^{[q]} R^{1/p^e}.$$

Applying ϕ_e and summing up, we obtain

$$cz^q \mathfrak{a}^{[tq]} = \sum_{e=e_0}^{e_1} \phi_e(d^{1/p^e}) z^q \mathfrak{a}^{[tq]} \subseteq \sum_{e=e_0}^{e_1} \phi_e(J^{[q]} R^{1/p^e}) \subseteq J^{[q]},$$

as required. $\square$

Remark 2.5. Since $\tilde{\tau}(\mathfrak{a}^t) \subseteq \tilde{\tau}(R)$, we see from Corollary 2.4 that any element of $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t) \cap R^\circ$ is an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element as long as condition $(*)$ is satisfied for $\mathfrak{a}^t$. Also, Corollary 2.4 is considered a refinement of [HY, Theorem 1.7], which asserts that,

if the localized ring R_c at an element $c \in R^\circ$ is strongly F-regular, then some power c^n of c is an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element for all ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and all rational numbers $t \geq 0$. Indeed, if R_c is strongly F-regular, then some power c^n of c is a test element (precisely speaking, an element of $\tilde{\tau}(R) \cap R^\circ$) by [HH1] (see also [HH3]), so that c^n is an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element for all $\mathfrak{a}$ and t by Corollary 2.4.

3. BEHAVIOR OF $\tau(\mathfrak{a})$ UNDER LOCALIZATION, COMPLETION AND FINITE HOMOMORPHISMS

Proposition 3.1. (cf. [LS2]) *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an F-finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ an ideal such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and $t \geq 0$ a rational number. Let W be a multiplicatively closed subset of R and suppose that condition $(*)$ is satisfied for $\mathfrak{a}^t$ and $(\mathfrak{a}R_W)^t$. Then*

$$\tau((\mathfrak{a}R_W)^t) = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)R_W.$$

Proof. Fix a system of generators $x_1^{(e)}, \dots, x_{r_e}^{(e)}$ of $\mathfrak{a}^{[tq]}$ for each $q = p^e$. If an element $c \in R_W$ is contained in $\tau((\mathfrak{a}R_W)^t)$, then by Lemma 2.1, for any element $d \in R^\circ$ and any nonnegative integer e_0 , there exist an integer $e_1 \geq e_0$ and R_W -homomorphisms $\phi_i^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_{R_W}(R_W^{1/p^e}, R_W)$ for $e_0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$ such that

$$c = \sum_{e=e_0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \phi_i^{(e)}((dx_i^{(e)})^{1/p^e}).$$

Since R is F-finite, there exists an element $y \in W$ such that we can regard $y\phi_i^{(e)}$ as an element of $\text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ for all $e_0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$. Therefore, thanks to Lemma 2.1 again, we have $cy \in \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$, that is, $c \in \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)R_W$. The converse argument just reverses this. The proposition is proved. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. (cf. [LS2]) *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an F-finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ an ideal such that $\mathfrak{a} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and $t \geq 0$ a rational number. Let $\widehat{R}$ denote the $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of R and suppose that condition $(*)$ is satisfied for $\mathfrak{a}^t$ and $(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R})^t$. Then*

$$\tau((\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R})^t) = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)\widehat{R}.$$

Proof. Fix a system of generators $x_1^{(e)}, \dots, x_{r_e}^{(e)}$ of $\mathfrak{a}^{[tq]}$ for each $q = p^e$. Since R is F-finite, we can take an element $d \in R^\circ$ which is an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ - and $(\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R})^t$ -test element by [HY, Theorem 6.4]. If an element $c \in \widehat{R}$ is contained in $\tau((\mathfrak{a}\widehat{R})^t)$, then by Lemma 2.1, there exist an integer $e_1 > 0$ and $\widehat{R}$ -homomorphisms $\phi_i^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R}^{1/p^e}, \widehat{R})$ for $0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$ such that

$$c = \sum_{e=0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \phi_i^{(e)}((dx_i^{(e)})^{1/p^e}).$$

Since R is F-finite, $\text{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R}^{1/p^e}, \widehat{R}) \cong \widehat{R} \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$, so that there exist $y_{i,1}^{(e)}, \dots, y_{i,s_{e,i}}^{(e)} \in \widehat{R}$ and $\psi_{i,1}^{(e)}, \dots, \psi_{i,s_{e,i}}^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ with $\phi_i^{(e)} = \sum_{j=1}^{s_{e,i}} y_{i,j}^{(e)} \otimes \psi_{i,j}^{(e)}$

for all $0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$. Then

$$c = \sum_{e=0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{e,i}} y_{i,j}^{(e)} \psi_{i,j}^{(e)} ((dx_i^{(e)})^{1/p^e}).$$

Therefore, thanks to Lemma 2.1 again, we have $c \in \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)\widehat{R}$. The converse argument just reverses this. The proposition is proved. $\square$

Our characterization is also applicable to the following situation; see also [BSm].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \hookrightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a pure finite local homomorphism of F -finite normal local rings of characteristic $p > 0$ which is étale in codimension one. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a nonzero ideal of R and let t be a nonnegative rational number. Assume that condition $(*)$ is satisfied for $\mathfrak{a}^t$ and $(\mathfrak{a}S)^t$. Then*

$$\tau((\mathfrak{a}S)^t) \cap R = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t).$$

Moreover if $R \hookrightarrow S$ is flat, then

$$\tau((\mathfrak{a}S)^t) = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)S.$$

Proof. Since $R \hookrightarrow S$ is pure, we have $\tau((\mathfrak{a}S)^t) \cap R \subseteq \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ by [HY, Proposition 1.12]. We will prove the reverse inclusion. Fix a system of generators $x_1^{(e)}, \dots, x_{r_e}^{(e)}$ of $\mathfrak{a}^{[tq]}$ for every $q = p^e$. Take an element $d \in R^\circ$ which is a common test element for R and S (cf. [BSm, Remark 6.5]). Then d is not only an $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -test element but also an $(\mathfrak{a}S)^t$ -test element by Corollary 2.4 and Remark 2.5. If an element c belongs to $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$, then by Lemma 2.1, there exist an integer $e_1 > 0$ and R -linear maps $\phi_i^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ for $0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$ such that

$$c = \sum_{e=0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \phi_i^{(e)} ((dx_i^{(e)})^{1/p^e}).$$

Let $c_{e,i} = \phi_i^{(e)} ((dx_i^{(e)})^{1/p^e})$ and let $E_S = E_S(S/\mathfrak{n})$ be the injective envelope of the residue field of S . Since $R \hookrightarrow S$ is étale in codimension one, by tensoring $\phi_i^{(e)}$ with E_S over R , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_S & \xrightarrow{dx_i^{(e)} F_S^e} & {}^eS \otimes_S E_S \\ & \searrow c_{e,i} & \downarrow \\ & & E_S, \end{array}$$

where $F_S^e: E_S \rightarrow {}^eS \otimes_S E_S$ is the induced e -times iterated Frobenius map on E_S (see [W, Proof of Theorem 2.7]). Hence $\text{Ker}(dx_i^{(e)} F_S^e) \subseteq (0 : c_{e,i})_{E_S}$ for every $0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$. Since $\sum_{e=0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} c_{e,i} = c$, we have $c \cdot \bigcap_{e=0}^{e_1} \bigcap_{i=1}^{r_e} \text{Ker}(dx_i^{(e)} F_S^e) = 0$. By condition $(*)$, this implies that $c \in \tau((\mathfrak{a}S)^t)$.

Now we will show the latter assertion assuming that $R \hookrightarrow S$ is flat. By the above argument, we already know that $\tau((\mathfrak{a}S)^t) \supseteq \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)S$. Suppose that $c \in \tau((\mathfrak{a}S)^t)$. Since S is a free R -module, we can choose a basis $s_1, \dots, s_k$ for S over R and write $c = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j s_j$ for $c_j \in R$. For any ideal $I \subseteq R$ and any $z \in I^{*\mathfrak{a}^t}$, clearly $z \in (IS)^{*(\mathfrak{a}S)^t}$. By the definition of $\tau((\mathfrak{a}S)^t)$, we have $cz = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j z s_j \in IS =$

$\bigoplus_{j=1}^k Is_j$. It follows that $c_j z \in I$, therefore $c_j \in \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ for every $j = 1, \dots, k$. Thus $c \in \tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)S$. $\square$

The following example shows that the last equality in Theorem 3.3 breaks down in the absence of the flatness, even for the case $\mathfrak{a} = R$.

Example 3.4. Let $S = k[[x, y, z]]/(x^n + y^n + z^n)$ be the Fermat hypersurface of degree n over a field of characteristic $p \geq n + 1$, $R = S^{(r)}$ the r th Veronese subring of S , and assume that $n \geq 3$ and $r \geq 2$ are not divisible by p . Then $\tau(R) = R_{\geq \lfloor 1+(n-3)/r \rfloor} = R_{\geq \lfloor (n-2)/r \rfloor}$ (note that $\lfloor 1 + (n-3)/r \rfloor = \lfloor (n-2)/r + (r-1)/r \rfloor = \lfloor (n-2)/r \rfloor$) and $\tau(S) = S_{\geq n-2}$. Hence $\tau(S) \cap R = R_{\geq \lfloor (n-2)/r \rfloor} = \tau(R)$, but $\tau(R)S = S_{\geq \lfloor (n-2)/r \rfloor r} \subsetneq S_{\geq n-2} = \tau(S)$ if $n-2$ is not divisible by r .

4. LIPMAN-SKODA'S THEOREM

In [Li], Lipman proves under the Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing theorem that, if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of a regular local ring with a reduction generated by l elements, then $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^l) = \mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1})\mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathcal{J}(\mathfrak{b})$ denotes the multiplier ideal (or adjoint ideal in the sense of [Li]) associated to an ideal $\mathfrak{b}$. This result is called Skoda's theorem [La] and formulated algebraically by Lipman in his proof of “modified Briançon–Skoda theorem.” We give a simple proof of the corresponding equality for the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^l)$. The following version is just a refinement of [HY, Theorem 2.1]; see also Remark 1.2 for the definition of the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t)$ with “bi-exponents.”

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be an ideal of positive height with a reduction generated by l elements. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{b} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and $t \geq 0$ a rational number. Then*

$$\tau(\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t) = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t) \mathfrak{a}.$$

Proof. We will see that

$$0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t} = 0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t} : \mathfrak{a} \text{ in } M$$

for any R -module M . By fundamental properties of $\mathfrak{a}^t$ -tight closure [HY, Proposition 1.3], the inclusion $0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t} \subseteq 0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t} : \mathfrak{a}$ is immediate, and to prove the reverse inclusion, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathfrak{a}$ itself is generated by l elements. Let $z \in 0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t} : \mathfrak{a}$, i.e., $z\mathfrak{a} \subseteq 0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t}$. Then there exists $c \in R^\circ$ such that $cz^q \mathfrak{a}^{[q]} (\mathfrak{a}^{l-1})^q \mathfrak{b}^{[tq]} = 0$ in $\mathbb{F}^e(M)$ for all $q = p^e \gg 0$. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by l elements, one has $\mathfrak{a}^{ql} = \mathfrak{a}^{q(l-1)} \mathfrak{a}^{[q]}$, so that $cz^q \mathfrak{a}^{lq} \mathfrak{b}^{[tq]} = 0$ for all $q = p^e \gg 0$, that is, $z \in 0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t}$. Thus we have $0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t} = 0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t} : \mathfrak{a}$.

Now assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a complete local ring and let $E = E_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$, the injective envelope of the R -module $R/\mathfrak{m}$. Then by the Matlis duality, $\text{Ann}_E(\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t))$ is equal to the union of $0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t}$ taken over all finitely generated R -submodules M of E . Hence, if $z \in \text{Ann}_E(\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t) \mathfrak{a})$, then there exists a finitely generated submodule $M \subset E$ such that $z \in (0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t} : \mathfrak{a})_E$. Replacing M by $M + Rz \subset E$, one has $z \in (0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t} : \mathfrak{a})_M = 0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t}$. Consequently, $\text{Ann}_E(\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t) \mathfrak{a})$ is equal to the union of $(0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t} : \mathfrak{a})_M = 0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t}$ taken over all finitely generated submodules $M \subset E$. Therefore

$$\tau(\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t) = \bigcap_{M \subset E} \text{Ann}_R(0_M^{*\mathfrak{a}^l \mathfrak{b}^t}) = \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ann}_E(\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t) \mathfrak{a})) = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1} \mathfrak{b}^t) \mathfrak{a}.$$

□

The characterization of the ideal $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^t)$ given in Lemma 2.1 enables us to replace the completeness assumption in the above theorem by condition (*).

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be an F -finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be an ideal of positive height with a reduction generated by l elements. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{b} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and t a nonnegative rational number. If condition (*) is satisfied for $\mathfrak{a}^{l-1}\mathfrak{b}^t$ and $\mathfrak{a}^l\mathfrak{b}^t$, then*

$$\tau(\mathfrak{a}^l\mathfrak{b}^t) = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1}\mathfrak{b}^t)\mathfrak{a}.$$

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality that $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by l elements, so that $\mathfrak{a}^{ql} = \mathfrak{a}^{q(l-1)}\mathfrak{a}^{[q]}$ for all $q = p^e$. We fix an element $d \in R^\circ$ such that R_d is regular. Then, thanks to Remark 2.5, some power d^n is an $\mathfrak{a}^k\mathfrak{b}^t$ -test element for all $k \geq 0$.

By Lemma 2.1, an element $c \in R$ is in $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^l\mathfrak{b}^t)$ if and only if there exist finitely many R -homomorphisms $\phi_i^{(e)} \in \text{Hom}_R(R^{1/p^e}, R)$ for $0 \leq e \leq e_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r_e$ such that

$$c \in \sum_{e=0}^{e_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r_e} \phi_i^{(e)}((d^n \mathfrak{a}^{p^e l} \mathfrak{b}^{\lceil tp^e \rceil})^{1/p^e}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^{(e)}((d^n \mathfrak{a}^{p^e l} \mathfrak{b}^{\lceil tp^e \rceil})^{1/p^e}) &= \phi_i^{(e)}((d^n \mathfrak{a}^{p^e(l-1)} \mathfrak{a}^{[p^e]} \mathfrak{b}^{\lceil tp^e \rceil})^{1/p^e}) \\ &= \phi_i^{(e)}((d^n \mathfrak{a}^{p^e(l-1)} \mathfrak{b}^{\lceil tp^e \rceil})^{1/p^e})\mathfrak{a} \\ &\subseteq \tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1}\mathfrak{b}^t)\mathfrak{a} \end{aligned}$$

again by Lemma 2.1, this is equivalent to saying that $c \in \tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1}\mathfrak{b}^t)\mathfrak{a}$. □

Corollary 4.3. (Modified Briançon–Skoda, cf. [BSk], [HY], [HH2], [Li]) *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be as in Theorem 4.1 or 4.2. Then*

$$\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{n+l-1}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^n$$

for all $n \geq 0$. In particular, if R is weakly F -regular, then $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^{n+l-1}} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a d -dimensional local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with infinite residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ an ideal of positive height. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{b} \cap R^\circ \neq \emptyset$ and t a nonnegative rational number. We assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is complete or it is F -finite and condition (*) is satisfied for $\mathfrak{a}^{n+d-1}\mathfrak{b}^t$ for every $n \geq 0$. Then for any $n \geq 0$ one has*

$$\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{n+d-1}\mathfrak{b}^t) = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^{d-1}\mathfrak{b}^t)\mathfrak{a}^n.$$

Proof. We can assume that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a proper ideal of R . Since the residue field $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite, by [NR], $\mathfrak{a}$ has a reduction ideal generated by at most d elements. Therefore the assertion immediately follows from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. □

Example 4.5. (cf. [HY, Theorem 2.15]) Let R be a d -dimensional regular local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Then

$$\tau(\mathfrak{m}^n) = \begin{cases} R & \text{if } n < d, \\ \mathfrak{m}^{n-d+1} & \text{if } n \geq d. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\tau(\mathfrak{m}^{d-1}) \supsetneq \tau(\mathfrak{m}^{d-2})\mathfrak{m}$. This shows that $l \geq d$ is the best possible bound for the equality $\tau(\mathfrak{m}^l) = \tau(\mathfrak{m}^{l-1})\mathfrak{m}$ in this case.

Remark 4.6. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ be as in Theorem 4.1 or 4.2 and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be any reduction of $\mathfrak{a}$. Then $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1})\mathfrak{a} = \tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1})\mathfrak{q}$. In particular, $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{l-1}) = \tau(\mathfrak{q}^{l-1})$ is contained in the coefficient ideal $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{q})$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{q}$; cf. [AH].

If R is Gorenstein, $\mathfrak{a}$ is $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary with minimal reduction $\mathfrak{q}$ and if the Rees algebra $R[\mathfrak{a}t]$ is F -rational, then the equality $\tau(\mathfrak{a}^{d-1}) = \mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{q})$ holds; see [HY] and Hyry [Hy].

REFERENCES

- [AH] Aberbach, I. and Huneke, C., *An improved Briançon-Skoda theorem with applications to the Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees algebras*, Math. Ann. **297** (1993), 67–114.
- [AM] Aberbach, I. and MacCrimmon, B., *Some results on test elements*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **42** (1999), 541–549.
- [BSm] Bravo, A. and Smith, K. E., *Behavior of test ideals under smooth and étale homomorphisms*, J. Algebra. **247** (2002), 78–94.
- [BSk] Briançon, J. and Skoda, H., *Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de C^n* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **278** (1974), 949–951.
- [DEL] Demailly, J.-P., Ein, L. and Lazarsfeld, R., *A subadditivity property of multiplier ideals*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 137–156.
- [HW] Hara, N. and Watanabe, K.-i., *F-regular and F-pure rings vs. log terminal and log canonical singularities*, J. Algebraic Geom. **11** (2002), 363–392.
- [HY] Hara, N. and Yoshida, K., *A generalization of tight closure and multiplier ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), 3143–3174.
- [HH1] Hochster, M. and Huneke, C., *Tight Closure and strong F-regularity*, Mem. Soc. Math. France **38** (1989), 119–133.
- [HH2] ———, *Tight closure, invariant theory and the Briançon-Skoda theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 31–116.
- [HH3] ———, *F-regularity, test elements, and smooth base change*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **346** (1994), 1–62.
- [Hy] Hyry, E., *Coefficient ideals and the Cohen-Macaulay property of Rees algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 1299–1308.
- [La] Lazarsfeld, R., *Positivity in algebraic geometry*, preprint.
- [Li] Lipman, J., *Adjoints of ideals in regular local rings*, Math. Research Letters **1** (1994), 739–755.
- [LS1] Lyubeznik, G. and Smith, K. E., *Strong and weak F-regularity are equivalent for graded rings*, Amer. J. Math. **121** (1999), 1279–1290.
- [LS2] ———, *On the commutation of the test ideal under localization and completion*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 8, 3149–3180.
- [NR] Northcott, D. G. and Rees, D., *Reductions of ideals in local rings*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **50** (1954), 145–158.
- [Ta] Takagi, S., *An interpretation of multiplier ideals via tight closure*, J. Algebraic Geom., to appear.
- [W] Watanabe, K.-i., *F-regular and F-pure normal graded rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **71** (1991), 341–350.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TOHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN

E-mail address: hara@math.tohoku.ac.jp

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 3-8-1, KOMABA, MEGURO, TOKYO 153-8914, JAPAN

E-mail address: stakagi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp